IRC A-Synchronous	
8 responses	
Publish analytics	
T ubilstratially ties	
Action:	Сору
BPs (standard)- 6150,6200,6250, 6300, 6307, 6340, 6341, 6345,6365, 6520.	
Note: If you are against a BP, please select "Other" and list the BP your	
are opposing.	
8 responses	
In Favor	
Abstain  Need for More Discussion	
75%	



I∐ Copy Action: Discuss BPs- 6330, 6400, 6520, 6540, 6620 Note: If you are against a BP, please select "Other" and list the BP your are opposing. 8 responses In Favor Abstain need for more discussion 6400 need for more discussion 12.5% 75% Copy Action: Rehire Rubric for Full TimeFaculty 8 responses In Favor Against 12.5% Abstain The work done is great but I 12.5% would like to see this vetted by Academic Senate or at least the FTFHC. It was mentioned that this rubric had a second readi... 75% need for in-person discussion before we finalize this



## Discussion/Feedback

We would like to continue offering relevant fiscal training to IRC members. As such, we would like to conduct a survey to help us identify fiscal topics of interest. Please provide suggestions for survey questions which would help us in the development of future training for our Council.

2 responses

These are an awful lot of items to go through in an asynchronous manner. Let's not try and vote this way. It would be much better to have a meeting and pare some of these items out for approval. Many of them are not controversial at all and could get approved without discussion. Others need to be talked about quite a bit more.

Budgeting, budget assessment, FON and other rules we have to follow, reserves, budget categories, types of budgets

This content is neither created nor endorsed by Google. Report Abuse - Terms of Service - Privacy Policy

Google Forms



